

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	Application Number		10734070	
	Filing Date		2003-12-11	
	First Named Inventor	Stephen M. Zappala		
	Art Unit	1614		
	Examiner Name	Donna A. Jagoe		
	Attorney Docket Number	16865-00019		

U.S. PATENTS							Remove
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Patent Number	Kind Code ¹	Issue Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	
	1						

If you wish to add additional U.S. Patent citation information please click the Add button.

Add

U.S. PATENT APPLICATION PUBLICATIONS							Remove
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Publication Number	Kind Code ¹	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	
	1						

If you wish to add additional U.S. Published Application citation information please click the Add button.

Add

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS								Remove
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Foreign Document Number ³	Country Code ² i	Kind Code ⁴	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T ⁵
	1							<input type="checkbox"/>

If you wish to add additional Foreign Patent Document citation information please click the Add button

Add

NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				Remove
Examiner Initials*	Cite No	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc), date, pages(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.		T ⁵

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10734070
Filing Date		2003-12-11
First Named Inventor	Stephen M. Zappala	
Art Unit		1614
Examiner Name	Donna A. Jagoe	
Attorney Docket Number		16865-00019

1	ACHAR, S. & Kundu, S., Principles of office anesthesia: part I. Infiltrative anesthesia. Am Fam Physician. 2002 Jul 1;66(1):91-4.	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	ARAKAWA, M., et al., Block of the sacral segments in lumbar epidural anaesthesia. Br J Anaesth. 2003 Feb;90 (2):173-8.	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	BROWN, D.T.,et al. Comparison of carbonated bupivacaine and bupivacaine hydrochloride for extradural anaesthesia. Br J Anaesth. 1980 Apr;52(4):419-22.	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	CANDIDO, K.D., et al., Addition of bicarbonate to plain bupivacaine does not significantly alter the onset or duration of plexus anesthesia. Reg Anesth. 1995 Mar-Apr;20(2):133-8.	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	CAPOGNA, G.,et al., Alkalinization of local anesthetics. Which block, which local anesthetic? Reg Anesth. 1995 Sep-Oct;20(5):369-77.	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	CHOW, M.Y., et al., Alkalinization of lidocaine does not hasten the onset of axillary brachial plexus block. Anesth Analg. 1998 Mar;86(3):566-8.	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	DIFAZIO, C.A., et al., Comparison of pH-adjusted lidocaine solutions for epidural anesthesia. Anesth Analg. 1986 Jul;65(7):760-4.	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	DUGGAN, J.,et al., Extradural block with bupivacaine: influence of dose, volume, concentration and patient characteristics. Br J Anaesth. 1988 Sep;61(3):324-31.	<input type="checkbox"/>
9	EICHELBERGER, J.A. & Lim, K. Intravenous regional anesthesia: a viable but often overlooked technique. AANA J. 1980 Dec;48(6):540-2.	<input type="checkbox"/>
10	GOSTELI, P., et al., Effects of pH adjustment and carbonation of lidocaine during epidural anesthesia for foot or ankle surgery. Anesth Analg. 1995 Jul;81(1):104-9.	<input type="checkbox"/>
11	HSU, G.L., et al., Outpatient surgery for penile venous patch with the patient under local anesthesia. J Androl. 2003 Jan-Feb;24(1):35-9.	<input type="checkbox"/>

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10734070
Filing Date		2003-12-11
First Named Inventor	Stephen M. Zappala	
Art Unit		1614
Examiner Name	Donna A. Jagoe	
Attorney Docket Number		16865-00019

12	LEACH, G.E., Local anesthesia for urologic procedures. Urology. 1996 Aug;48(2):284-8.	<input type="checkbox"/>
13	LIU, S.S., et al., Effects of concentration and volume of 2-chloroprocaine on epidural anesthesia in volunteers. Anesthesiology. 1997 Jun;86(6):1288-93; discussion 7A.	<input type="checkbox"/>
14	MAGEE, D.A., et al., Epidural anaesthesia with mixtures of bupivacaine and lidocaine. Can Anaesth Soc J. 1983 Mar;30(2):174-8.	<input type="checkbox"/>
15	MCCLURE, J.H., et al., Effect of injected volume and speed of injection on the spread of spinal anaesthesia with isobaric amethocaine, Br J Anaesth. 1982 Sep;54(9):917-20.	<input type="checkbox"/>
16	MORISON, D.H., A double-blind comparison of carbonated lidocaine and lidocaine hydrochloride in epidural anaesthesia. Can Anaesth Soc J. 1981 Jul;28(4):387-9.	<input type="checkbox"/>
17	NAKAYAMA, M., et al., Effects of volume and concentration of lidocaine on epidural anaesthesia in pregnant females. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2002 Nov;19(11):808-11.	<input type="checkbox"/>
18	NEWTON, C.W., et al., Plain and buffered lidocaine for neonatal circumcision. Obstet Gynecol. 1999 Mar;93(3):350-2.	<input type="checkbox"/>
19	RIBOTSKY, B.M., et al. Local anesthetics. Is there an advantage to mixing solutions? J Am Podiatr Med Assoc. 1996 Oct;86(10):487-91.	<input type="checkbox"/>
20	RIRIE, D.G., et al., Effect of alkalinization of lidocaine on median nerve block. Br J Anaesth. 2000 Feb;84(2):163-8.	<input type="checkbox"/>
21	SAKURA, S., et al., Quantitative and selective assessment of sensory block during lumbar epidural anaesthesia with 1% or 2% lidocaine. Br J Anaesth. 1998 Nov;81(5):718-22.	<input type="checkbox"/>
22	SCOTT, D.B., et al. Effects of concentration of local anaesthetic drugs in extradural block. Br J Anaesth. 1980 Oct;52(10):1033-7.	<input type="checkbox"/>

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	Application Number		10734070
	Filing Date		2003-12-11
	First Named Inventor	Stephen M. Zappala	
	Art Unit	1614	
	Examiner Name	Donna A. Jagoe	
	Attorney Docket Number	16865-00019	

	23	SCOTT, F.B., Outpatient implantation of penile prostheses under local anesthesia. Urol Clin North Am. 1987 Feb;14 (1):177-85.	<input type="checkbox"/>
	24	VAN ZUNDERT, A.A., et al., Spinal anesthesia. Volume or concentration--what matters? Reg Anesth. 1996 Mar-Apr;21(2):112-8.	<input type="checkbox"/>

If you wish to add additional non-patent literature document citation information please click the Add button

EXAMINER SIGNATURE

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	
--------------------	--	-----------------	--

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through a citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.USPTO.GOV or MPEP 901.04. ² Enter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ³ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁴ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. ⁵ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	10734070
Filing Date	2003-12-11
First Named Inventor	Stephen M. Zappala
Art Unit	1614
Examiner Name	Donna A. Jagoe
Attorney Docket Number	16865-00019

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

Please see 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 to make the appropriate selection(s):

☐ That each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(1).

OR

☐ That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(2).

☐ See attached certification statement.

☒ Fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17 (p) has been submitted herewith.

☐ None

SIGNATURE

A signature of the applicant or representative is required in accordance with CFR 1.33, 10.18. Please see CFR 1.4(d) for the form of the signature.

Signature	/Roger P. Zimmerman/	Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	2006-06-12
Name/Print	Roger P. Zimmerman	Registration Number	38,670

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether the Freedom of Information Act requires disclosure of these records.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspections or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.